

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,896	08/21/2001	Tsuyoshi Fujiwara	50140536X00	5967	
75	90 04/11/2003				
Antonelli Terry Stout & Kraus 1300 North Seventeenth Street Suite 1800			EXAMINER A		
			GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A		
Arlington, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
•	09/913,896	FUJIWARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel A Gebremariam	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	rresp ndence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)	anuary 2003 .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22,38 and 40-42 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,38 and 40-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 12				

٠,

Art Unit: 2811

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Sp cification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 27, line 20 refers the "withdrawal electrode " with numeral number "13". Numeral number "13" already designates "insulating film" in figure 3(a). Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Art Unit: 2811

(k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

#### Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, 9 and 12 are objected for failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Claims 1, 9 and 12 recite the limitation of "forming a semiconductor layer in a region on the surface of the semiconductor layer" which is not supported by the drawing and the specification. The semiconductor region is formed inside the substrate not on the substrate.

Claims 1-22 and 38 are objected because it is not clear whether the elements in parenthesis are part of the claim or not. If the elements in parenthesis are part of the, it is suggested that the parenthesis be struck.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There are two conductors in claim 1. It is not clear which conductor applicant is referring to.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,896 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

## Claim R jections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 12-14, 16-19, 38 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lucas et al. 6,287,951 in view of Matsubara US patent No. 6,274,417.

Regarding claim 1, Lucas teaches (fig. 9) a manufacturing process of a semiconductor device comprising: selectively forming a first insulating film (12) on a surface of a semiconductor substrate (10); forming a first conductor portion (14, 15) via a second insulating film (13) over the surface of the semiconductor substrate, forming a semiconductor region (16) in the semiconductor substrate, wherein the first insulating film and the first conductor portion do not exist; forming a third insulating film (22) to cover the first conductor portion, semiconductor layer and first insulating film; forming a fourth insulating film (24) over the third insulating film; forming a first opening in the fourth and third insulating films, forming a second conductor portion (82, 84, 86 and 88) in the first opening; and forming a fifth insulating film (26) over the fourth insulating film.

Lucas does not explicitly teach the third and fifth insulating films are silicon nitride films formed by plasma CVD and the third insulating film is formed at a temperature higher than that of the fifth insulating film.

Art Unit: 2811

It is conventional and also taught by Matsubara (col. 1, line 62-64 and col. 2, line 30-34) forming silicon nitride using plasma CVD and thermal CVD. It is also known that CVD has a wide range of deposition temperature.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the third insulating layer in the process of Lucas at higher temperature in order to lower the water permeability of the silicon nitride.

Regarding claim 2, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including the first (12) and fourth (24) insulating films are silicon oxide films, and the step for forming a first opening (61) (see fig. 6) comprises a step of etching the fourth insulating film under conditions permitting a larger etching amount of the fourth insulating film than that of the third insulating film and a step of etching the third insulating film under conditions permitting a larger etching amount of the third insulating film than that of the first insulating film.

Since the claimed process and materials are the same the process inherently permits a larger etching amount of the fourth insulating film than that of the third insulating film and a step of etching the third insulating film under conditions permitting a larger etching amount of the third insulating film than that of the first insulating film.

Regarding claim 3, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including the third insulating film is formed using an ammonia-free reaction gas (col. 4, line 5-19).

Lucas does not explicitly teach that the fifth insulating film is formed using ammonia containing reaction gas.

Art Unit: 2811

It is conventional and also taught by Lucas forming silicon nitride using ammoniacontaining gas (col. 4, line 5-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form silicon nitride using ammonia-containing gas as a passivation layer.

Regarding claim 4, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including a step of forming a silicide laver over the surface of the semiconductor layer (col. 3, line 50-53).

Regarding claim 5, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including the second conductor portion contains a first conductor layer (72) and a second conductor layer (74) and the first conductor layer is thinner than the second conductor layer and lies below the second conductor layer (fig. 7, col. 7, line 55-67, and col. 8, line 1-5).

Regarding claim 6, Lucas teaches (fig. 9) substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including forming a third conductor portion (92) a step of connecting, in a second opening formed in the fifth insulating (26) film to expose a portion of the third conductor portion, the third conductor portion with an externally connecting conductor portion (96).

Regarding claim 7, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including the first conductor portion (14 and 15) is formed of a silicon layer (col. 3, line 20-31).

Lucas does not explicitly teach the first conductor (14) contains boron.

Art Unit: 2811

It is conventional in the art to form gate structure of doped polysilicon material.

Furthermore boron is well known dopant of polysilicon.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dope the polysilicon gate with boron in the process of Lucas in order to increase the gate conductivity.

Regarding claim 8, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including the second conductor portion (72 and 74) is formed of three conductor layers, that is, a first conductor layer made of silicon, a second conductor layer and a third conductor layer made of a refractory metal (col. 7, line 55-67).

Regarding claim 9, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above except explicitly teaching that the third and fifth insulating films are silicon nitride films formed by plasma CVD and the third insulating film has a hydrogen content smaller than that of the fifth insulating film.

Matsubara has established that hydrogen has a detrimental effect to a MOSFET structure because water diffuses into the gate oxide film to increase Si-H combination (col. 1, line 26-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the third insulating film with less hydrogen content in the process of Lucas in order to reduce the silicon-hydrogen combination, which has a detrimental effect to the gate structure.

Regarding claim 12, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above except explicitly teaching that the second insulating film (22) (same as

Art Unit: 2811

the third insulating film of claim 1) is a silicon nitride film formed by plasma CVD at 400°C or greater.

Parameters such as deposition temperature and annealing temperature in the art of semiconductor manufacturing process are subject to routine experimentation and optimization to achieve the desired film quality during device fabrication.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the silicon nitride of Lucas process at the temperature as claimed in order to form a device that less prone to failure.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 1 and 3 above including the second insulating film is formed using a reaction gas having monosilane and nitrogen but free of ammonia (the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> insulating films are the same as the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> of insulating films of claim 2).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 1 and 5 above including the first conductor layer is a titanium nitride layer (72), while the second conductor layer is a tungsten layer (74) (col. 7, line 55-67).

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 1 and 3 above except explicitly teaching the third insulating is a silicon nitride film formed by plasma CVD at 400°C or greater.

Parameters such as deposition temperature and annealing temperature in the art of semiconductor manufacturing process are subject to routine experimentation and optimization to achieve the desired film quality during device fabrication.

Art Unit: 2811

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the silicon nitride of Lucas process at the temperature as claimed in order to form a device that less prone to failure.

Regarding claim 38, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 1 and 12 above including forming a first conductor portion (14, 1501-1503) made of a silicon material, wherein a high refractory silicide layer is formed on the surface of the first conductor portion (col. 3, line 20-31).

Regarding claims 40-42, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 1 and 12 above including forming a first (22) and a second (26) silicon nitride films. Lucas further teaches that (col. 4, line 5-19) that silicon nitride can be formed using either silane with nitrogen gas or silane with ammonia and nitrogen gas.

Matsubara further teaches that silicon nitride can be formed using plasma CVD.

Lucas does not explicitly teach the first silicon nitride film is formed by plasma

CVD using a raw material gas having silane and nitrogen, and the second silicon nitride

film is formed by plasma CVD using a raw material gas having silane, ammonia and

nitrogen.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the first silicon nitride film using a raw material gas having silane and nitrogen as claimed in the process of Lucas in order to reduce the silicon-hydrogen combination that may arise from the ammonia source, which has a detrimental effect to the gate structure and further using raw material gas having silane, nitrogen and ammonia for the second silicon nitride in order to form a barrier layer.

Art Unit: 2811

The limitation of forming first silicon nitride film for self alignment and forming second silicon nitride film for passivation is not given patentable weight since a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lucas and Matsubara in view of Hashimoto US patent No. 5,717,254.

Regarding claim 15, Lucas teaches (col. 3, line 50-53) substantially the entire claimed process of claims 1 and 2 above except stating the details of the silicide-forming step.

Hashimoto teaches (fig. 3A, col. 7, line 51-62) depositing a refractory metal film over the semiconductor layer and first insulating film; heat treating the semiconductor substrate, thereby forming a silicide layer over a surface of the semiconductor layer; and removing the refractory metal film over the first insulating film.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the process steps of silicidation process taught by Hashimoto in the process of Lucas in order to reduce contact resistance between interconnect structure and the semiconductor layer during further metallization process.

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 10, 11, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lucas in view of Matsubara.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Lucas teaches a manufacturing process of a semiconductor device according to the present invention, comprises: forming a first insulating film (22) on a surface of a semiconductor substrate (1); forming a second insulating film (24) over the first insulating film; forming an opening in the second and first insulating films; forming a conductor layer (82, 84, 86 88) in the opening; and forming a third insulating film (26) over the conductor layer, wherein the first insulating film and the third insulating film are silicon nitride films formed by plasma CVD and the first insulating film is formed at a temperature higher than that of the third insulating film and the first insulating film has a hydrogen content smaller than that of the third insulating film (see rejection for claims 1 and 9).

Regarding claims 20 and 21, Lucas teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 10 and 18 above including the second insulating film (24) is a silicon oxide film.

Regarding claim 22, Lucas teaches (fig. 7) substantially the entire claimed process of claim 20 above including the conductor forming step comprises forming a first conductor layer as a lower layer (72) and a second conductor layer as an upper layer (74), the second conductor layer is made of copper, and the first conductor layer serves to prevent diffusion of copper (col. 7, line 55-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/913,896 Page 12

Art Unit: 2811

#### C nclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References D and E are cited as being related to a dielectric comprising silicon nitride.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Admassu Gebremariam whose telephone number is 703 305 1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 703-308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Samuel Admassu Gebremariam April 6, 2003

Steon Loke